Estimating Leaf Area Index of Cassava Plantation using UAV Imagery

Pengkheang Mol^{*1,2}, Sanara Hor², Sophak Pok², Chetha Chea², Hirokazu Higuchi³

¹Royal University of Agriculture, Graduate School, Phnom Penh, Cambodia

²Royal University of Agriculture, Faculty of Land Management and Land Administration, Phnom Penh, Cambodia ³Kyoto University, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto, Japan

<u>mpengkheang@rua.edu.kh</u>

hsanara@rua.edu.kh

poksophak@gmail.com

<u>c.chetha@rua.edu.kh</u>

higuchi.hirokazu.2a@kyoto-u.ac.jp

Outline

Background
 Preliminary Results

• Objectives

• Methods





Background

Background

- Cassava is a crucial cash crop for farmers and the planting, harvesting, processing, and export of this crop is essential to ensuring the livelihoods and employment of thousands of Cambodians. Fourth in Asia and tenth worldwide in terms of cassava production is Cambodia. (UNDP, 2021)
- In cassava, leaf area is a key indicator of crop growth rate and the storage bulking rate (Cock *et al.*, 1979).



Objectives



The goal of this study is to use parrot sequoia pictures to generate a Leaf Area Index (LAI) layer for monitoring cassava farming in Battambang Province.

- Comparing different indices for estimating LAI from multispectral images
- Evaluating LAI estimation accuracy by using crossed validation method.



Research Methods

Case Study Location



This study selected Battambang Province, located in northwestern Cambodia, where Battambang flourished with a diverse range of product beside rice.

In Battambang, the production of cassava was the second largest after rice (MAFF, 2023).

Figure 1. Selected site for the study

Aerial images collection

- Drone: DJI M100
- Software: DroneDeploy
- Plan: Grid-based in QGIS
- Altitude: 100m
- Overlap: 70%
- Calibration: Grey scale applied



Figure 2. UAV flight preparation



Figure 3. Cassava field from drone's point of views.

LAI data collection (in-situ information)

- Instrument: LAI-2200C
- Method: Row cropping, 270° cape
- Calibration: Diffuser cape applied
- Purpose: Data validation
- Data Extraction: Using FV2200 in lab to

extract LAI into CSV format



Figure 4. Capture the above canopy



Figure 5. Capture the below canopy

Parrot sequoia camera

Multispectral images is collected using Parrot Sequoia which has one RGB camera and

multispectral sensors;

- Green (530-570 nm)
- Red (640-680 nm)
- Red Edge Band (730-740 nm)
- Near Infrared (770-810 nm).







Figure 6. Green Band (530-570 nm)



Figure 7. Red Band (640-680 nm)



Figure 9. Near Infrared Band (770-810 nm)

Aerial Image Processing Workflow

RGB Images:

- Key Points Processing
- Point Cloud Generation
- Ortho-Mosaicking to GeoTIFF Files

Multispectral Images:

• Same Workflow Plus Radiometric Calibration for



Figure 11. UAV imagery processing steps



Figure 12. Radiometric Reflectance Panel

Each Band

Vegetation Indices

Table 1. Vegetation indices and its equation

Index	Formula	Source	
NDVI	(NIR - Red) / (NIR + Red)	Tucker, C. J. (1979)	
EVI2	2.5 * (NIR - RED) / (NIR + 2.4 * RED + 1.0)	Jiang, Z. et al. (2006)	
OSAVI	1 + 0.16 * ((NIR - RED) / (NIR + RED + 0.16))	Rondeaux, G. et al. (1996)	
GCI	(Green - NIR) / NIR	Gitelson, M. Y. et al. (2003)	
REGCI	(Red-edge - NIR) / (Red-edge + NIR)	Woebbecke, D. E. et al. (1993) or Gitelson, M. Y. et al. (2003)	

Machine Learning

- Generated five vegetation indices.
- Applied Random Forest model:
- Training: 70% in-situ LAI data.
- Validation: 30% in-situ LAI data.
- Evaluated using R-square (R²) and RMSE.
- Goal: Enhance LAI precision and vegetation analysis accuracy.



Figure 13. Random Forest Algorithm

Validation method

$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \hat{y}_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \bar{y}_{i})^{2}}$$
(1)

$$RMSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \quad (2)$$

(Yan *et al.*, 2022)

Where y_i and \hat{y}_i are estimated, measured cassava LAI, \bar{y} is the average values of field LAI measured and n is the numbers of samples measured at a specific field.



Preliminary Result



Figure 14. Estimated leaf area index Maps of every indices and a referenced RGB aerial image.

LAI Equation Result

Table 2. Leaf area index equation from 5 different vegetation indices and its effectiveness (R Square)

Indices	Regression Equation	R^2	df
NDVI	LAI = 1.0211*NDVI - 0.0847	0.55***	49
EVI2	LAI = 0.85898*EVI2 + 0.08915	0.48***	49
OSAVI	LAI = 0.94861*0SAVI + 0.01047	0.54***	49
GCI	LAI = 1.0920*GCL - 0.1427	0.65***	49
REGCI	LAI = 0.99577*REGCL - 0.01808	0.52***	49

-- Signif. codes '***' indicated that $P \le 0.001$

Summary

The analysis of Leaf Area Index (LAI) estimation using vegetation indices (NDVI, EVI2, OSAVI, GCI, and REGCI) through linear regression models demonstrates that all indices can predict LAI with varying effectiveness.

- NDVI and REGCL are highly effective across various conditions
- while EVI2 and OSAVI offer benefits in reducing atmospheric and soil background noise
- GCL shows the greatest sensitivity to LAI changes, making it ideal for detailed vegetation studies.



Conclusion



"Drones + Vegetation Indices = Efficient Plant Monitoring"

This Powerful Combination Enables Efficient Monitoring of Crops, Forests, and Ecosystems. By Tracking Plant Health and Productivity Over Large Areas, We Gain Valuable Insights for Sustainable Resource Management.



Figure 15. Leaf area index Maps from processed aerial image.

Bibliography

- Irikura, Y., Cock, J., & Kawano, K. (1979). The physiological basis of genotype—temperature interactions in cassava. Field Crops Research, 2, 227–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4290(79)90025-X
- El-sharkawy, M. A. (2004). Cassava biology and physiology. 481–501.
- Gitelson, A. A., Gritz †, Y., & Merzlyak, M. N. (2003). Relationships between leaf chlorophyll content and spectral reflectance and algorithms for non-destructive chlorophyll assessment in higher plant leaves. Journal of Plant Physiology, 160(3), 271–282. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1078/0176-1617-00887
- Jiang, Z., Huete, A., Didan, K., & Miura, T. (2008). Development of a two-band enhanced vegetation index without a blue band. Remote Sensing of Environment, 112, 3833– 3845. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2008.06.006
- MAFF. (2016). Cassava Crop. https://elibrary.maff.gov.kh/book/5b46c0d57c09e
- MAFF. (2023). Briefing Report on the Achievements of 5 Years (2018-2022) of Battambang Provincial Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. https://battambang.maff.gov.kh/post/63c8a7794478c
- Reisi Gahrouei, O., McNairn, H., Hosseini, M., & Homayouni, S. (2020). Estimation of Crop Biomass and Leaf Area Index from Multitemporal and Multispectral Imagery Using Machine Learning Approaches. Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing, 46(1), 84–99. https://doi.org/10.1080/07038992.2020.1740584
- Rouse, W., Haas, H., & Deering, W. (n.d.). 20 monitoring vegetation systems in the great plains with erts .
- SAEKI, T. (1960). Interrelationships between leaf amount, light distribution and total photosynthesis in a plant community. Bot Mag Tokyo, 73, 55–63. https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1573105975210178432
- UNDP. (2021). New Cassava Policy to Transform Production of Crucial Crop. https://www.undp.org/cambodia/press-releases/new-cassava-policy-transform-productioncrucial-crop
- Wordofa, M. G., Hassen, J. Y., Endris, G. S., Aweke, C. S., Moges, D. K., & Rorisa, D. T. (2021). Adoption of improved agricultural technology and its impact on household income : a propensity score matching estimation in eastern Ethiopia. Agriculture & Food Security, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-020-00278-2
- Yan, P., Han, Q., Feng, Y., & Kang, S. (2022). Estimating LAI for Cotton Using Multisource UAV Data and a Modified Universal Model. In Remote Sensing (Vol. 14, Issue 17). https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14174272
- Zaroug, M. A. H., Sylla, M. B., Giorgi, F., Eltahir, E. A. B., & Aggarwal, P. K. (2013). A sensitivity study on the role of the swamps of southern Sudan in the summer climate of North Africa using a regional climate model. Theoretical and Applied Climatology, 113(1), 63–81. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-012-0751-6